UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,651	09/09/2003	Mark A. Reiley	29914-701.410	1980
SHAY LAW (7590 01/29/2007 GROUP	EXAMINER		
2755 CAMPUS DRIVE SUITE 210 SAN MATEO, CA 94403			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J. I. (IVII I I I I I			3738	
	<u> </u>			i .
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			NĪ				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/658,651	REILEY, MARK A	٨.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply is - Failure to reply within the company of the com	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITE OF THIS COMMUNICAT be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communicat becified above is less than thirty (30) days specified above, the maximum statutory he set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this come me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on	09 September 2003.					
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this a	, <u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claim	6						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>	6 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the at	ove claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration	,				
5)	is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>	6 are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification	ition is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing	s) filed on is/are: a)[] accepted or b)☐ objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant mag	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement	drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required if the draw	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or o	leclaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attach	ched Office Action or form PT	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ 1. ☐ Certifi 2. ☐ Certifi 3. ☐ Copie	nent is made of a claim for for Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority docu ed copies of the priority docu s of the certified copies of the ation from the International E	ments have been received. Iments have been received e priority documents have b		Stage			
* See the attacl	ned detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachment(s)							
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5	18) Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTC :	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3738

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-38, drawn to prosthesis system, classified in class 606, subclass
 61.
- Claims 39-46, drawn to surgical method, classified in class 128, subclass
 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of group 1 and group 2 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product may be used for other orthopaedic applications beyond that of the natural facet joint of the vertebral body.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Page 3

Figures 2;2a;2b;2c;11;20;21;22;24;25;29;32;34;36;37;38;39;41;42

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Shay on 1/18/2007 to inform applicant's attorney to the above restriction requirement no election was made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. ISABELLA whose telephone number is 571-272-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,651 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVID J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI 1/18/2007